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The title compound, $\left[\mathrm{Na}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2}\right]_{n}$, forms infinite two-dimensional layers running parallel to (010). The layers lie across crystallographic mirror planes at $y=1 / 4$ and $3 / 4$. The $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{B}$ and two F atoms reside on these mirror planes. The $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ cations are six-coordinate. Two equatorial coordination positions are occupied by acetonitrile molecules. The other two equatorial coordination sites are occupied by the chelating O atoms from the difluoro(oxalato)borate anion ( $\mathrm{DFOB}^{-}$). The axial coordination sites are occupied by two F atoms from two different $\mathrm{DFOB}^{-}$anions.

## Related literature

For the electrochemical properties of the $\mathrm{DFOB}^{-}$anion, see: Zhang (2007); Chen et al. (2007); Fu et al. (2010). For ionic liquids based on the $\mathrm{DFOB}^{-}$anion, see: Schreiner et al. (2009). For the benefits of ionic liquid additives in $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ion batteries, see: Kim et al. (2010); Schreiner et al. (2009); Sugimoto et al. (2009); Moosbauer et al. (2010).


## Experimental

Crystal data
$\left[\mathrm{Na}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}\right]$
$M_{r}=241.93$
Orthorhombic, Pnma
$a=11.6932$ (3) $\AA$
$b=14.1254$ (3) $\AA$
$c=6.5130(1) \AA$
$V=1075.76$ (4) $\AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=0.17 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=110 \mathrm{~K}$
$0.38 \times 0.37 \times 0.23 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Bruker-Nonius Kappa-axis X8 APEXII diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2009)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.938, T_{\text {max }}=0.961$

## Refinement

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.037 \quad 81$ parameters
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.112$
$S=1.09$
3243 reflections

H -atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.47 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.33 \mathrm{e} \mathrm{A}^{-3}$

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2009); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2009); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP3 (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: cif2tables.py (Boyle, 2008).

This work was funded by the US DOE BATT Program (contract No. DE-AC02-05-CH11231). JLA thanks the SMART Scholarship Program and the American Society for Engineering Education (ASEE) for the award of a SMART Graduate Research Fellowship.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SJ5126).
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## Poly[diacetonitrile $\left[\mu_{3}\right.$-difluoro(oxalato)borato]sodium]
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## Comment

Ionic liquids (ILs) have attracted much attention recently, especially room-temperature ionic liquids (RTILs), due to their many favorable properties including large liquid range, high conductivity, low vapor pressure, tailorable hydrophobicity and high thermal stability. Recently, a new class of ILs based upon the $\mathrm{DFOB}^{-}$anion has been reported (Schreiner et al., 2009). These ILs may contribute extensively to the solid-electrolyte interface (SEI) formation in Li-ion batteries (Zhang, 2007; Chen et al., 2007; Fu et al., 2010), especially when used as an additive (Kim et al., 2010; Sugimoto et al., 2009; Moosbauer et al., 2010). The synthesis of ILs based on the $\mathrm{DFOB}^{-}$anion has thus far been a multi-step process involving the synthesis of tetrafluoroborate $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$-based ILs, which are then reacted to displace two F atoms with an oxalate moiety to form $\mathrm{DFOB}^{-}$anions. The title compound, sodium difluoro(oxalato)borate (NaDFOB), can be used as an alternative reagent for the synthesis of $\mathrm{DFOB}^{-}$-based ILs by reacting it directly with bromide salts with organic cations producing the $\mathrm{DFOB}^{-}$-based ILs and NaBr . Therefore, the title compound may become an important reagent for use in the synthesis of ILs for Li-ion battery electrolytes.

The $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$cation in the title structure, which resides on a crystallographic mirror plane, is coordinated by two carbonyl O atoms from a single $\mathrm{DFOB}^{-}$anion, two F atoms from two distinct $\mathrm{DFOB}^{-}$anions and two N atoms from two acetonitrile molecules (Fig. 1). The pseudo-octahedral structure is packed in the crystal structure such that $Z=4$ (Fig. 2), forming two dimensional layers in which acetonitrile molecules form the exterior of the layer (Fig. 3). The shortest $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ contact between the acetonitrile exteriors of the layers is $3.675 \AA$.

## Experimental

NaDFOB was synthesized by the direct reaction of excess boron trifluoride diethyl etherate ( $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$-ether) with sodium oxalate, both used as-received from Sigma-Aldrich. The resulting salt was extracted with anhydrous acetonitrile (Sigma-Aldrich) by dissolving the NaDFOB and filtering off the NaF solid byproduct. NaDFOB was allowed to slow crystallize at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ forming colorless crystals suitable for X-ray analysis.

## Refinement

The structure was solved by direct methods using the $X S$ program. All non-hydrogen atoms were obtained from the initial solution. The hydrogen atoms were introduced at idealized positions and were allowed to ride on the parent carbon atom. The $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ orientation and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distance were allowed to vary during the refinement. The structural model was fit to the data using full matrix least-squares based on $F^{2}$. The calculated structure factors included corrections for anomalous dispersion from the usual tabulation. The structure was refined using the $X L$ program from $S H E L X T L$, graphic plots were produced using the ORTEP-3 crystallographic program suite.
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Figures


Fig. 1. Asymmetric unit of $(\mathrm{AN})_{2}: \mathrm{NaDFOB}$ reflected across its mirror plane with naming/ numbering scheme. Thermal ellipsoids are at $50 \%$ probability (Na-purple, O-red, F-green, Btan, C-grey, N-blue).


Fig. 2. Ion and solvent coordination in $(\mathrm{AN})_{2}: \mathrm{NaDFOB}$. Thermal ellipsoids are at $50 \%$ probability (Na-purple, O-red, F-green, B-tan, C-grey, N-blue).

## Poly[diacetonitrile[ $\mu_{3}$-difluoro(oxalato)borato]sodium]

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Na}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}\right]$
$M_{r}=241.93$
Orthorhombic, Pnma
Hall symbol: -P 2ac 2n
$a=11.6932$ (3) $\AA$
$b=14.1254$ (3) $\AA$
$c=6.5130(1) \AA$
$V=1075.76$ (4) $\AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

## Data collection

Bruker-Nonius Kappa-axis X8 APEXII diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube graphite
$\omega$ and $\varphi$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 2009)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.938, T_{\text {max }}=0.961$
33523 measured reflections
$F(000)=488$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.494 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 9940 reflections
$\theta=3.4-38.5^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.17 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=110 \mathrm{~K}$
Prism, colorless
$0.38 \times 0.37 \times 0.23 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.037$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.112$
$S=1.09$

3243 reflections
81 parameters
0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
H -atom parameters constrained
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0573 P)^{2}+0.1343 P\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}=0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.47 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.33 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$

## Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two 1.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving 1.s. planes.
Refinement. Refinement of $F^{2}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted $R$-factor $w R$ and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{2}$, conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>\sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating $R$ factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. $R$-factors based on $F^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and $R$ - factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $A^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\mathrm{iso}}{ }^{*} / U_{\mathrm{eq}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Na 1 | $0.53868(3)$ | 0.2500 | $0.20509(6)$ | $0.01770(9)$ |
| O1 | $0.44700(5)$ | $0.14747(4)$ | $-0.05163(8)$ | $0.02226(11)$ |
| O2 | $0.34387(5)$ | $0.16659(4)$ | $-0.34157(8)$ | $0.02147(11)$ |
| C1 | $0.40112(5)$ | $0.19563(4)$ | $-0.18020(9)$ | $0.01607(11)$ |
| B1 | $0.30460(9)$ | 0.2500 | $-0.46233(16)$ | $0.01987(18)$ |
| F1 | $0.35481(6)$ | 0.2500 | $-0.65402(9)$ | $0.02390(13)$ |
| F2 | $0.18741(6)$ | 0.2500 | $-0.47948(11)$ | $0.0361(2)$ |
| N1 | $0.60143(7)$ | $0.12710(6)$ | $0.43675(12)$ | $0.03178(16)$ |
| C2 | $0.62179(6)$ | $0.07466(5)$ | $0.56558(11)$ | $0.02313(13)$ |
| C3 | $0.64674(7)$ | $0.00803(5)$ | $0.72867(13)$ | $0.02731(15)$ |
| H3A | $0.6132(7)$ | $0.0290(3)$ | $0.8509(11)$ | $0.041^{*}$ |
| H3B | $0.7260(7)$ | $0.0035(4)$ | $0.7461(9)$ | $0.041^{*}$ |
| H3C | $0.6171(7)$ | $-0.0515(5)$ | $0.6943(7)$ | $0.041^{*}$ |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(A^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Na 1 | $0.01740(17)$ | $0.02188(18)$ | $0.01382(16)$ | 0.000 | $-0.00027(12)$ | 0.000 |


| O1 | $0.0307(3)$ | $0.0182(2)$ | $0.0179(2)$ | $0.00205(17)$ | $-0.00250(18)$ | $0.00271(15)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O2 | $0.0256(2)$ | $0.0215(2)$ | $0.0174(2)$ | $-0.00691(17)$ | $-0.00341(17)$ | $-0.00137(16)$ |
| C1 | $0.0167(2)$ | $0.0164(2)$ | $0.0151(2)$ | $-0.00148(17)$ | $0.00062(18)$ | $-0.00046(17)$ |
| B1 | $0.0140(4)$ | $0.0303(5)$ | $0.0153(4)$ | 0.000 | $-0.0009(3)$ | 0.000 |
| F1 | $0.0217(3)$ | $0.0354(3)$ | $0.0146(2)$ | 0.000 | $0.0017(2)$ | 0.000 |
| F2 | $0.0139(3)$ | $0.0714(6)$ | $0.0230(3)$ | 0.000 | $-0.0036(2)$ | 0.000 |
| N1 | $0.0300(3)$ | $0.0352(3)$ | $0.0301(3)$ | $0.0053(3)$ | $-0.0019(3)$ | $0.0104(3)$ |
| C2 | $0.0211(3)$ | $0.0235(3)$ | $0.0247(3)$ | $0.0018(2)$ | $-0.0018(2)$ | $0.0026(2)$ |
| C3 | $0.0334(4)$ | $0.0199(3)$ | $0.0287(3)$ | $-0.0007(2)$ | $-0.0077(3)$ | $0.0053(2)$ |

Geometric parameters ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| Na1-N1 | 2.4143 (8) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{N} 1{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 2.4143 (8) |
| Na1-F2 $2^{\text {ii }}$ | 2.2768 (8) |
| Na1-F1 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 2.3377 (7) |
| Na1-O1 | 2.4582 (6) |
| $\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 2.4582 (6) |
| Na1-C1 | 3.0780 (7) |
| $\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{C} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 3.0780 (7) |
| O1-C1 | 1.2049 (8) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 1.3119 (8) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{B} 1$ | 1.4892 (8) |
| $\mathrm{F} 2^{\text {ii }}$ - $\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {iii }}$ | 162.92 (3) |
| $\mathrm{F} 22^{\mathrm{ii}}-\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 99.86 (3) |
| F1iii- ${ }^{\text {iii }} 1-\mathrm{N} 1^{1}$ | 91.96 (2) |
| F2 ${ }^{\text {ii }}$ - $\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 99.86 (3) |
| Fiiii ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ - $\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 91.96 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ - $\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 91.96 (4) |
| F2ii ${ }^{\text {ii }}$ - $\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 83.93 (2) |
| F1iii-Na1-O1 | 82.29 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {i }}$ - $\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 168.90 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 97.70 (2) |
| $\mathrm{F} 2{ }^{\text {ii }}-\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 83.93 (2) |
| $\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {iii }}$ - $\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 82.29 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ - $\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 97.70 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 168.90 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 72.20 (3) |
| F2ii ${ }^{\text {ii }} \mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 82.71 (2) |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ - $\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 80.76 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1{ }^{\text {i }}$ - $\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 147.97 (2) |
| N1-Na1-C1 | 119.27 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 21.651 (16) |
| O1 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ - $\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 50.549 (18) |
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